

**SUPREME COURT MINUTES
MONDAY, APRIL 22, 2002
SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA**

S091547 Great Western Shows, Inc., Plaintiff and Respondent

v.

County of Los Angeles, Defendant and Appellant

We therefore conclude that:

1. State law does not preempt a county ordinance prohibiting gun and ammunition sales on county property.

2. A county may regulate the sale of firearms on its property located in an incorporated city within the borders of the county.

Moreno, J.

We Concur:

George, C.J.

Kennard, J.

Baxter, J.

Werdegar, J.

Chin, J.

Dissenting Opinion by Brown, J.

S091549 Russell Allen Nordyke et al., Plaintiffs and Respondents

v.

Mary V. King et al., Defendants and Appellants

In sum, whether or not the Ordinance is partially preempted, Alameda County has the authority to prohibit the operation of gun shows held on its property, and, at least to that extent, may ban possession of guns on its property.

Moreno, J.

We Concur:

George, C.J.

Kennard, J.

Baxter, J.

Werdegar, J.

Chin, J.

Dissenting Opinion by Brown, J.

S016924 People, Respondent

v.

Kurt Michaels, Appellant

The request of appellant for 45 minutes for oral argument is granted.

The request of appellant for permission to be represented by two counsel at oral argument is granted.

S054372 People, Respondent

v.

Dennis Newton Ervine, Appellant

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender Douglas Ward's representation that he anticipates filing the request for correction of the record by June 15, 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to request correction of the record in the superior court is granted to June 17, 2002. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

Counsel for appellant is ordered to serve a copy of the record correction motion on this court upon its filing in the superior court.

S064306 People, Respondent

v.

John Joseph Famalaro, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including June 14, 2002.

S065575 In re Steven Allen Champion

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's return to the order to show cause is extended to and including May 22, 2002.

S066377 People, Respondent

v.

Frank Manuel Abilez, Appellant

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to and including June 21, 2002.

S094710 People, Respondent

v.

Robert Neal Anderson, Appellant

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file respondent's supplemental brief is extended to and including April 23, 2002.

S099205 In re Khalifah Saif'Ullah

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of the Attorney General and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including May 15, 2002.

S101023 In re Richard Henry

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of the Attorney General and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including May 8, 2002.

S102642 In re Antoine Maurice Goff

on

Habeas Corpus

On application of the Attorney General and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to and including May 18, 2002.

S102722 People, Respondent

v.

Shaun Stanistreet, Appellant
And Companion Case

On application of appellants and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the appellants' answer brief on the merits is extended to and including May 24, 2002.

S103172 In re Taofig Blaize
 on
 Habeas Corpus

On application of the Attorney General and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus is extended to and including May 10, 2002.

S104295 In re Herbert Koontz
 on
 Habeas Corpus

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Harry Joseph Colombo's representation that he anticipates filing the informal response by May 13, 2002, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to May 13, 2002. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S098242 Henkel Corporation, Appellant
 v.

Lloyd's of London et al., Respondents

The request of appellant to file its Response to Joint Petitioners' (respondents) Answer Brief to the Amicus Curiae Brief of Western MacArthur Company is DENIED.

S017869 People, Respondent
 v.
 Kristin William Hughes, Appellant

In the above-entitled matter the court filed its decision on January 28, 2002, because of the following extraordinary and compelling circumstances:

1. The size of the record on appeal (totaling approximately 6,000 pages).
2. The necessity to grant appellant, for good cause, extensions of time within which to submit his briefs.
3. The necessity to grant respondent, for good cause, extensions of time within which to submit its briefs.
4. The number and complexity of the issues raised on appeal and the extensiveness of the briefing of the parties (briefing consumed more than 1,000 pages and was not completed until March 27, 2000).

Bar In the Matter of the Application of the Committee of Bar Examiners
Misc. of the State of California for Admission of Attorneys

4186 The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the
 following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for
 admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to
 the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to
 the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another
 time and place:

 (LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED TO ORIGINAL ORDER)